



Antioxidant Activity of Metanolic Extracts of Stem barks of *Parkinsonia aculeata* Linn

Radhey Kant Sharma*, Priyanka Sharma and Vipin Kumar Singh

Department of Chemistry, Government, P.G. College Rajgarh, Alwar (Raj.) India.

Received: 31 Dec 2012; **Revised:** 31 January 2013; **Accepted:** 14 February 2013

Abstract: Antioxidants are important in protective against various diseases like hypertensive, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and cancer. In present study of antioxidant activity of metanolic extracts of stem and stem bark of *Parkinsonia aculeata* L. was carried out using standard in vitro models. The antioxidant activity was evaluated in vitro by using three different assays viz., Nitric oxide Scavenging activity, DPPH Scavenging activity and Hydrogen peroxide Scavenging activity. The result of the study depends of concentration of the metanolic extracts of the stem bark of *Parkinsonia aculeata* L. This study will provide suitable opportunities for further investigation to verify these activities in vivo.

Keywords: Antioxidant activity, DPPH, Nitric Oxide, Hydrogen peroxide.

INTRODUCTION

Parkinsonia aculeata L. belongs to the family Leguminosae and commonly known as "Jerusalem thorn". It is a species valued as an ornamental tree, found in dry regions of America, India (Specially in Rajasthan), Pakistan and Italy. Decoction of the seed is used as potential sources for low cost protein¹ and free amino acids². It also shows trypsin inhibitor activity and helps in the prevention of diabetes³. Extracts of stem bark of this plant were screened for spermatogenic effects⁴ and antibiotic activities⁵.

Many antioxidant compounds obtained from plant sources have been identified as free radical or active oxygen scavengers⁶. In recent time, therefore interest has increased significantly in finding naturally occurring antioxidants for use as food or medicinal substances to replace the synthetic antioxidant⁷. Plant constituents like flavonoid and phenolic compounds are broadly distributed and have been reported to extract multiple biological effects including antioxidant, anti-inflammatory or anticarcinogenic etc⁸.

The aim of the present investigation is to evaluate in vitro antioxidant and free radical Scavenging activity of the *Parkinsonia aculeate* L. Stembark extracts.

EXPERIMENTAL

Aluminum chloride, trichloroacetic acid, Sulphanilic acid, Nitroblue tetrazolium chloride (NBT), Phenazine methosulphate (PMS) and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH) were purchased from Sisco Research Lab, India. Ferrozine and 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH) Potassium ferricyanide, ferric chloride sodium carbonate, naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride (NEDD) were purchased from Ronkem fine chemicals Ltd. India. And used L- ascorbic acid, gallic acid and quercetin from Department Lab. All the reagents were of analytical grade and used without further purification.

Plant materials and extraction procedures: *Parkinsonia aculeate* L. stembark collected during January 2011 from College Campus and was authenticated by Departemnt of Botany, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur. About 500g. of powered stembark of the plant was first defatted wit petroleum ether and extracted with methanol for 72 Hr. the extract was concentrated under reduced pressure using rotary evaporator. Methanolic extract of the stembark directly used for the determination of antioxidant activities.

1. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity: Hydrogen scavenging activity was determined by the method described by Jayaprakasha *et al*⁹. A solution of hydrogen peroxide (20mM) was prepared in phosphate–buffer saline (PBS at P^H 7.4). Different concentrations of the extract and standard compound in methanol (1 ml) added to 2 ml of hydrogen peroxide solution in PBS. After 20 min. the absorbance was measured at 230 nm. All the data presented are average of triplicate analysis.

2. DPPH scavenging activity: The free radical scavenging activity was determined by the DPPH assay described by Blis¹⁰. DPPH method is one of the most simple and inexpensive method to measure antioxidant activity of plants and involves the use of the free radical (DPPH). It is a stable free radical and is often used to evaluate the antioxidant activity of several plants. Antioxidants on interaction with DPPH, either transfer electron or hydrogen atom to DPPH and thus neutralizing its free radical character. The odd electron in the DPPH free radical gives a strong absorption at 517 nm and its purple in colour. 0.1 mM solution of DPPH in methanol was prepared and 5 ml of this solution added to 1 ml of sample solution in methanol at different concentrations. After 1 hr. the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. Lower absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates higher free radical scavenging activity.

3. Nitric oxide scavenging activity: Nitric oxide scavenging activity of methanolic extract was carried out based on method described by Marcocci with slight modifications¹¹. The reaction mixture (10 ml) containing sodium nitropruside (5 ml, 10 mM), phosphate buffer saline (1 ml) and the extracts, the compound and standard solution (1 ml) were incubated at 25°C for 3 hr. after incubation, 1 ml of the reaction mixture was removed and 1 ml of sulphanilic acid reagent (0.33% in 20% glacial acetic acid) was mixed and allowed to stand for 10 min. for completion of diazotization reaction and then 1 ml of 0.1% solution of naphthyl ethylene diamine dihydrochloride (NEDD) was added, mixed and allowed to stand for another 0.5 hr. in diffused light. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm against corresponding blank solution.

Statistical analysis: All values are expressed as means \pm SD. Statistical analysis was performed by one-way ANOVA. Differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. The values for IC₅₀ were estimated graphically by linear regression analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity: Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of extract is compared with ascorbic acid, quercetin and gallic acid. Result has been summarized in **Table -1**. the ability of

extract to scavenge hydrogen peroxide is compared with standard compounds that are ascorbic acid, quercetin and gallic acid. IC_{50} values of extract, ascorbic acid, quercetin and gallic acid were found to be 316 ± 0.494 , 198.61 ± 1.631 , 38.34 ± 2.138 and $50.49 \pm 0.167 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. These results showed that extract was effective in scavenging hydrogen peroxide. Hydrogen peroxide scavenging activity of extract and standard compounds exhibited the following order: quercetin > gallic acid > ascorbic acid > extract.

DPPH scavenging activity: The extract showed maximum hydrogen donating ability in the presence of DPPH free radical at high concentration. As shown in **Table -2**, the extract showed a strong hydrogen donating capacity and can powerfully scavenge DPPH radical. The extract showed antioxidant activity with IC_{50} value of $21.86 \pm 0.625 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. However, the known antioxidants such as ascorbic acid and quercetin exhibited IC_{50} values of 16.81 ± 0.549 and $8.02 \pm 0.246 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$, respectively. The loss of the DPPH radical based on the absorbance at 517 nm wavelength can be monitored by decreased optical density¹². The result obtained in this investigation reveals that the DPPH radical scavenging ability of methanolic extract of stem bark may be attributed to the hydrogen donating ability.

Nitric oxide scavenging activity: Nitric oxide (free radical) has an important role in various types of inflammatory and other physiological conditions.¹³ The procedure is based on the principle that sodium nitroprusside in aqueous solution at physiological pH spontaneously generates nitric oxide, which interacts with oxygen to produce nitrite ions that can be estimated using Griess reagent. In presence of extract, production of nitrite ions was reduced due to scavenging of nitric oxide, which interacts with oxygen to produce nitrite ions. IC_{50} value of extract was found to be $671.20 \pm 11.82 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$; however gallic acid exhibited $79.31 \pm 0.546\%$ inhibition at a concentration of $20 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ as shown in Table-3, which indicates that the methanolic extract of stem bark possess the nitric oxide scavenging activity.

Table- 1

Hydrogen Peroxide Scavenging Activity Of Extract And Standard				
Concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	Percentage inhibition (mean \pm SD)			
	Extract	Ascorbic acid	Quercetin	Gallic acid
20	*	*	37.36 ± 2.137	36.00 ± 1.808
40	*	*	49.17 ± 2.213	40.82 ± 1.212
60	*	*	68.79 ± 2.100	58.09 ± 1.715
80	*	*	83.22 ± 0.674	68.94 ± 1.625
100	8.0 ± 1.563	39.29 ± 0.828	93.94 ± 0.652	83.95 ± 0.968
200	18.12 ± 1.381	53.55 ± 1.136	*	*
300	46.00 ± 1.406	66.18 ± 1.585	*	*
400	72.23 ± 1.474	78.22 ± 1.815	*	*
500	90.06 ± 0.663	96.92 ± 0.906	*	*
IC_{50} Values in $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ (mean \pm SD)	316.64 ± 0.494	198.61 ± 1.634	38.34 ± 2.138	50.49 ± 0.167

Table- 2

Dpph Scavenging Activity of Extract And Standard			
Concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	Percentage inhibition (mean \pm SD)		
	Extract	Ascorbic acid	Quercetin
5	*	32.28 ± 2.348	38.21 ± 0.214
10	38.46 ± 0.945	42.71 ± 1.128	58.73 ± 0.183
20	48.17 ± 1.430	62.29 ± 0.986	83.60 ± 1.006
30	58.66 ± 0.650	88.62 ± 1.089	90.74 ± 0.355
40	70.39 ± 0.934	93.55 ± 0.416	*
50	80.97 ± 2.135	*	*

Where * not determined.

Table- 3

Nitric Oxide Scavenging Activity Of Extract And standard	
Concentration ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	Percentage inhibition (mean \pm SD)
200	16.61 ± 3.036
400	38.76 ± 1.099
600	46.50 ± 1.929
800	58.55 ± 1.679
1000	78.05 ± 1.638
Gallic acid (20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$)	

Where * not determined.

CONCLUSION

The results obtained in the present study reveals that the methanolic extract of the stem bark of *Parkinsonia aculeata* L. can successfully scavenge various reactive oxygen species / free radicals under *in vitro* conditions. The presence of phenolics and flavonoids further suggest the possibility of its antioxidant activity. Further purification of the extract is required for the identification of active principles which may be valuable in a number of free radical mediated disease processes.

REFERENCES

1. D. Prakash, A. Niranjana, S.K. Tiwari and P. Pushpangadan, *Int. J. Food Sci. Nutri.*, 2001, **52**, 337..
2. S. Jaiswal, A. Batra, S. Verma and M.M. Bokodia, *J. Sci. Ult.*, 1984, **50**, 24..
3. A.C.R. Leite T.G. Arayo, B.M. Carvalho, N.H. Silva and M.B.S. Maia, *J. Ethnopharmacol.*, 2007, **111**, 547.
4. R.S. Gupta, H. Rehwani, V. Khusalami, K. Tanwar and .Y.C. Joshi, *J. Pharm. Biol.*, 2007, **45**, 1
5. M. Rani, S. Kumar and S.B. Kalidhar, *Indian J. Pharm. Sci.*, 1999, **61**, 315.

6. W. Zheng and S.Y. Wang., *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2001, **49**, 5165.
7. N. Ito, S. Fukushima, A. Hasegawa, M. Shibata and T. Ogisa, *J. Nat. Cancer Inst.* 1983,**70**, 348
8. A.L. Miller, *Alt. Med. Rev.*,1996, **1**, 103.
9. G.K. Jayaprakash, L.J. Rao and K.K. Sakasiah, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2004,**12**, 5141.
10. M.S. Bilos, *Nature*, 1958,**26**, 1199.
11. L. Marcocci, L. Pocker, M.T. Dory-Lafaix, A. Sakaki and M. Gardes Albert, *Methods Enzymol.*, 1994,**234**, 462.
12. N. Singh and P.S. Rajini, *Food Chem.*,2004, **85**, 611.
13. G.R. Schinella, H.A. Tournier, J.M. Prieto, M. Morduyovich and J.L. Riso, *Life Sci.*,2002, **70**, 1023.

Corresponding author: Radhey Kant Sharma; Department of Chemistry, Govt. P.G. College Rajgarh, Alwar (Raj.) India.